



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON VA 22202

In re Application of

JORET et al.

Application No.: 10/088,089 : DECISION ON

PCT No.: PCT/FR00/03209

Int. Filing Date: 17 November 2000 : PETITION UNDER

Priority Date: 17 November 1999

Attorney Docket No.: 219924US2PCT : 37 CFR 1.137(b)

For: TRANSPARENT SUBSTRATE COMPRISING

AN ANTIREFLECTION COATING

This decision is in response to the "PETITION TO REVIVE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.137(b)" submitted by applicants on 21 March 2002.

## **BACKGROUND**

On 17 November 2000, applicants filed international application PCT/FR00/03209, which claimed a priority date of 17 November 1999. A copy of the international application was communicated to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) from the International Bureau on 25 May 2001. The twenty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired at midnight on 17 July 2001.

On 21 March 2002, applicants filed a submission for entry into the national stage in the United States which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the U.S. Basic National Fee, an English translation of the international application as filed, the processing fee under 37 CFR 1.492(f) for furnishing the English translation later than twenty months from the priority date, and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.492(e) for providing the oath or declaration later than twenty months from the priority date.

On 21 March 2002, applicants also filed the instant "PETITION TO REVIVE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.137(b)".

## **DISCUSSION**

A petition to revive the present application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must include: (1) The required reply:



Application No.: 10/088,089

(2) The petition fee;

(3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

As to item (1), applicants submitted the basic national fee for a small entity on 21 March 2002.

As to item (2), applicants submitted the petition fee on 21 March 2002.

With regard to item (3), petitioner has provided the required statement

A review of the application file reveals that, with the filing of the present petition and accompanying papers, a proper response has been submitted and all of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) for revival have been satisfied and revival is therefore appropriate.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **GRANTED** for the reasons set forth above.

Please direct any further correspondence with respect to this matter to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Box PCT, Washington, D.C. 20231, and address the contents of the letter to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

This application is being forwarded to the National Stage Processing Branch of the Office of PCT Operations to continue national stage processing of the application including preparing and mailing a NOTIFICATION OF MISSING REQUIREMENTS (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) requiring an oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a)-(b).

Daniel Stemmer Legal Examiner

PCT Legal Affairs

Office of Patent Cooperation Treaty

Legal Administration Telephone: (703) 308-2066 Facsimile: (703) 308-6459